Case 5:08-cv-00660-HRL	Document 9	Filed 02/06/2008	Page 1 of 5		
	il Cases	garding Case Management In Civ	Standing Order Reg	27 27	
				97 57	
				50	
				23	
				77	
				17	
				70	
Counsel for Plaintiff					
Signature Signature					
		: February 6, 2008	Dated	LΙ	
		itled matter.	the above-ent	91	
Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a Case Management in Civil Cases in regards to					
	/	dants.		14	
			DOES I (produced)	13	
			.sv	11	
GEWENL IN CIAIT CYSES DING OKDEK KEGYKDING CYSE		,Thi	Jnisl q	10	
AO' C08-00000 HBT	CYSE	EATHERIDGE,	MELISSA DI	6	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
NOBTHED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
TOHON TNIGTPIG PATATS GATHAL					
Attorney for Plaintiff, Melissa Deatheridge					
7£0 <i>L</i> -703 (059)					
MARIANNE C. ROSSI, ESQ. (SBN: 117377) TO2 Marshall St., Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94063					

disclosure and discovery disputes in the cases assigned to them.

whom the action is referred for discovery or other pretrial activity.

of service in accordance with Civil L.R. 5-6(a).

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Control of the vin

CASE MANAGEMENT IN CIVIL CASES STANDING ORDER REGARDING SAN JOSE DIVISION

Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in cases assigned to Judge Ware may be noticed for hearing on any

Before selecting a hearing date for a motion before any of the judges of the San Jose Division, counsel

All disclosure or discovery disputes in cases assigned to district judges are referred to the assigned

subsequently joined, in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 4 and 5. Following service, plaintiff shall file a certificate

Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Standing Order on all parties to this action and on all parties

initials of the assigned district judge or magistrate judge and, if applicable, the initials of the magistrate judge to

Judges James Ware, Ronald M. Whyte and Jeremy Fogel, and Magistrate Judges Patricia V. Trumbull, Richard

This order sets forth requirements for initial case management in all civil matters assigned to District

Seeborg, and Howard R. Lloyd. All papers filed must include the case number of the action followed by the

must confer with opposing counsel to determine that the proposed hearing date will not cause undue prejudice.

magistrate judge for determination pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(a). Magistrate judges themselves handle

Case No. Ce8-6666 HRL

Page 6 of 8 Filed 01/28/2008 Document 3 Case 5:08-cv-00660-HRL

97 52

77

23

77

17

50

61

18

LI

91

SI

DI.

13

15

II

10

6

8

L

9

5

t

3

7

28

17

Friday at 9:00 a.m.

Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in cases assigned to Judge Whyte may be noticed for hearing on any

Monday at 9:00 a.m.

Dated: Effective on the date this order is filed, until further court order.

Page 7 of 8

Filed 01/28/2008

Document 3

Case 5:08-cv-00660-HRL

IT IS SO ORDERED.

87

L7

97

with the Clerk's Office that has already been filed electronically. judge's name, case number and "E-filing Chambers Copy." Parties shall not file a paper copy of any document marked "Chambers Copy" and shall be submitted to the Clerk's Office, in an envelope clearly marked with the 54 the close of the next court day following the day the papers are filed electronically. These printed copies shall be 23 the parties shall, in addition to filing papers electronically, lodge with chambers a printed copy of the papers by 77 In all "E-filing" cases when filing papers in connection with any motion for determination by a judge, 17 are not required, to attend the Case Management Conference. 07 Conference or to file a Joint Case Management Conference Statement may result in sanctions. Parties may, but 61 elements of effective case management. Failure to meet and confer, to be prepared for the Case Management 18 Case Management Conference and good faith compliance with the requirements of this Order are essential 41 and 16-9, and in patent cases, Patent L.R. 3.1 through 3.6. A meaningful meet and confer process prior to the 91 their respective clients and opposing counsel for the purposes specified in Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f), Civil L.R. 16-8 51 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-3, in advance of the Case Management Conference, counsel shall confer with DI L.R. 16-2(e). Parties may not stipulate to continue a Case Management Conference without court approval. South First Street, San Jose, California. This conference may be continued only by court order pursuant to Civil 15 , at the United States Courthouse, 280 H Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 16 and 26 and Civil L.R. 16-10(a), a Case Management Conference will be 10 hearing on any Tuesday at 10:00 a.m. 6 Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in cases assigned to Magistrate Judge Lloyd may be noticed for 8 hearing on any Wednesday at 9:30 a.m. Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in cases assigned to Magistrate Judge Seeborg may be noticed for 9 hearing on any Tuesday at 10:00 a.m. 5 Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in eases assigned to Magistrate Judge Trumbull may be noticed for b date. 3 after contacting Judge Fogel's judicial secretary, Teresa Fleishman, at 408-535-5426 and obtaining an available 7 Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in cases assigned to Judge Fogel may be noticed for hearing only

United States District Judge

United States Chief Magistrate Judge

Patricis V. Trumbull

United States District Judge

United States District Judge

Jetemy Ford

Ronald M. Whyte

United States Magistrate Judge

Michaid Sectors

Howard R. Lloyd

3

28

LZ

97

52

74

23

77

17

50

61

18

LI

91

51

DI

13

15

II

OI

6

8

9

5

t

3

7

MARIANNE C. ROSSI, ESQ. (SBN: 117377)

Case 5:08-cv-00660-HRL	Document 9-2	Filed 02/06/2008	Page 1 of 5		
	l Cases	egarding Case Management In Civi	72 Standing Order R		
			97		
			52		
			77		
			53		
			77		
			07		
THE PARTY OF TAXABLE PA					
/s/MARIANNE C. ROSSI Signature Counsel for Plaintiff					
ISOS JANNY	Ιαννίω	d: February 6, 2008	17 Date		
Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a Case Management in Civil Cases in regards to the above-entitled matter.					
ot obregger at seese of the for all the	/	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
	e.	ndants.	EI		
		9. SANTA CLARA, 19. R. LIDDLE AND 19. SANTA CLARA,	DOES I TPLE IS CYBT SIME		
	ON IN IN ITAL		.sv 01		
EWENT IN CIVIL CASES NG ORDER REGARDING CASE	IDNATS DANAM	,Nin	nisIq 0		
O' C08-00990 HBT	CV2E N	DEATHERIDGE,			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
ONILED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
			S		
Attorney for Plaintiff, Melissa Deatheridge					
\$\psi \cdot					
702 Marshall St., Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94063					
TYM OŁŁICES OŁ WYKIYNNE C. KOSSI WYKIYNNE C. KOSSI, ESQ. (SBN: 117377)					

Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in cases assigned to Judge Whyte may be noticed for hearing on any

Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in cases assigned to Judge Ware may be noticed for hearing on any

Before selecting a hearing date for a motion before any of the judges of the San Jose Division, counsel

All disclosure or discovery disputes in cases assigned to district judges are referred to the assigned

subsequently joined, in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 4 and 5. Following service, plaintiff shall file a certificate

Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Standing Order on all parties to this action and on all parties

initials of the assigned district judge or magistrate judge and, if applicable, the initials of the magistrate judge to

Judges James Ware, Ronald M. Whyte and Jeremy Fogel, and Magistrate Judges Patricia V. Trumbull, Richard

This order sets forth requirements for initial case management in all civil matters assigned to District

Seeborg, and Howard R. Lloyd. All papers filed must include the case number of the action followed by the

must confer with opposing counsel to determine that the proposed hearing date will not cause undue prejudice.

magistrate judge for determination pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(a). Magistrate judges themselves handle

O TO TO TO THE WAY OF WAY OF THE CASE MANAGEMENT IN CIVIL CASES

STANDING ORDER REGARDING

disclosure and discovery disputes in the cases assigned to them.

whom the action is referred for discovery or other pretrial activity.

of service in accordance with Civil L.R. 5-6(a).

Case No. Ce8-6666 HRL

SAN JOSE DIVISION

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Page 6 of 8

Filed 01/28/2008

Document 3

Case 5:08-cv-00660-HRL

7

9 5

8

6

28

17 97

52

77

23

77

17

50

61

18

LI

91

SI

DI. 13

15

II

10

Friday at 9:00 a.m.

Monday at 9:00 a.m.

L

t

3

87

L7

97

54

23

77

17

07

61

18

41

91

51

DI

15

H

hearing on any Wednesday at 9:30 a.m. Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in cases assigned to Magistrate Judge Seeborg may be noticed for 9 hearing on any Tuesday at 10:00 a.m. 5 Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in eases assigned to Magistrate Judge Trumbull may be noticed for b date. 3 after contacting Judge Fogel's judicial secretary, Teresa Fleishman, at 408-535-5426 and obtaining an available 7 Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in cases assigned to Judge Fogel may be noticed for hearing only

hearing on any Tuesday at 10:00 a.m. 6 Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in cases assigned to Magistrate Judge Lloyd may be noticed for 8

Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 16 and 26 and Civil L.R. 16-10(a), a Case Management Conference will be 10

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-3, in advance of the Case Management Conference, counsel shall confer with L.R. 16-2(e). Parties may not stipulate to continue a Case Management Conference without court approval. South First Street, San Jose, California. This conference may be continued only by court order pursuant to Civil , at the United States Courthouse, 280

elements of effective case management. Failure to meet and confer, to be prepared for the Case Management Case Management Conference and good faith compliance with the requirements of this Order are essential and 16-9, and in patent cases, Patent L.R. 3.1 through 3.6. A meaningful meet and confer process prior to the their respective clients and opposing counsel for the purposes specified in Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f), Civil L.R. 16-8

Conference or to file a Joint Case Management Conference Statement may result in sanctions. Parties may, but

In all "E-filing" cases when filing papers in connection with any motion for determination by a judge, are not required, to attend the Case Management Conference.

marked "Chambers Copy" and shall be submitted to the Clerk's Office, in an envelope clearly marked with the the close of the next court day following the day the papers are filed electronically. These printed copies shall be the parties shall, in addition to filing papers electronically, lodge with chambers a printed copy of the papers by

judge's name, case number and "E-filing Chambers Copy." Parties shall not file a paper copy of any document

with the Clerk's Office that has already been filed electronically.

Filed 02/06/2008

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: Effective on the date this order is filed, until further court order.

3

United States Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd

United States Chief Magistrate Judge

Patricis V. Trumbull

Michaid Sectors

United States District Judge Jetemy Ford

> United States District Judge Ronald M. Whyte

United States District Judge

Page 8 of 8

Document 3 Filed 01/28/2008

Case 5:08-cv-00660-HRL

28

LZ

6

8

9

5 t

3

7

MARIANNE C. ROSSI, ESQ. (SBN: 117377)